

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
<u>VOLUME I</u>		
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report Background.....	1-1
1.2	Organization of this Document.....	1-2
1.3	DEIR, Recirculated DEIR, and Response to Comments	1-2
1.4	Master Responses to Comments	1-3
1.5	Project Decision-making Process	1-3
2	LIST OF COMMENTS AND MASTER RESPONSES TO COMMENTS	2-1
2.1	List of Comments.....	2-1
3	MASTER RESPONSES	3-1
3.1	Master Response 1 — Recirculation of the DEIR	3-1
3.2	Master Response 2 — Disagreement Regarding the Conclusions of the DEIR	3-3
3.3	Master Response 3 — Traffic	3-3
3.4	Master Response 4 — Hazardous Materials Impacts	3-8
3.5	Master Response 5 — Cultural Resource Impacts.....	3-16
3.6	Master Response 6 — No Project Alternative – Current Zoning (Small-Scale Farming)	3-27
3.7	Master Response 7 — Loss of Agricultural Resources	3-31
4	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE DEIR	4-1
Comment Letters 1 through 82		
<u>Tables</u>		
2-1	Comment Letters Received on the DEIR.....	2-1
2-2	Comment Letters Received on the Recirculated DEIR.....	2-5
<u>VOLUME II</u>		
4	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE DEIR	4-637
Comment Letters 83 through 114		
5	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE RECIRCULATED DEIR.....	5-1
6	REVISIONS TO THE DEIR AND RECIRCULATED DEIR	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Revisions to the DEIR and Recirculated DEIR	6-1
7	REFERENCES	7-1
7.1	Printed References	7-1
7.2	Personal Communications	7-2
8	REPORT PREPARATION	8-1
<u>Appendices</u>		
A	Hazardous Materials Research Documents	
B	Office of Historic Preservation Concurrence Letter and Strawberry Research	
C	Attachments from Kirk Vartan (PDFs on CD)	